

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Application of California Water Service Company (U 60 W), a Corporation, for an Order Authorizing It to Increase Rates Charged for Water Service at Each of Its Operating Districts to Recover Increased Operating Expenditures at Its General Office.

Application 01-09-062  
(Filed September 10, 2001)

And Related Matters.

Application 01-09-063  
Application 01-09-064  
Application 01-09-065  
Application 01-09-066  
Application 01-09-067  
Application 01-09-068  
Application 01-09-069  
Application 01-09-070  
Application 01-09-071  
Application 01-09-072  
Application 01-09-073  
Application 01-09-074

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING  
DENYING MOTIONS (1) FOR LEAVE TO FILE COMMENTS IN EXCESS OF  
PAGE LIMITS, AND (2) FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW**

On May 20, 2003, the Chief Administrative Law Judge mailed the principal hearing officer's proposed decision to all parties. Pursuant to Rule 77.2 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules), parties are allowed to file comments on the proposed decision within 20 days of mailing. On June 4, 2003, after 14 of the 20 days had elapsed, California Water Service Company

(Cal Water) filed its motions requesting permission to file comments in excess of the page limitation set in Rule 77.3 and for expedited consideration of the motion. On June 5, 2003, Aglet Consumer Alliance (Aglet) notified all parties of its opposition to the motions.<sup>1</sup>

Cal Water's delay in filing this motion has placed all other parties at a significant disadvantage, as well as distracting the parties from work on their own comments. For this reason, and the reasons stated by Aglet, Cal Water's motions are denied pursuant to Rule 45(h).

Therefore, **IT IS RULED** that the motions filed by California Water Service Company are denied.

Dated June 6, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MARIBETH A. BUSHEY  
Maribeth A. Bushey  
Administrative Law Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Aglet also pointed out an error in the cover letter to the proposed decision. The page limitation is 25 pages as set out in Rule 77.3.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Denying Motions (1) for Leave to File Comments in Excess of Page Limits, and (2) for Expedited Review on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated June 6, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ HELEN FRIEDMAN

Helen Friedman

**N O T I C E**

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to ensure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

\*\*\*\*\*

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074, TTY 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.

